

കേരള സർക്കാർ Government of Kerala 2014



Regn. No. KERBIL/2012/45073 dated 5-9-2012 with RNI

Reg. No. KL/TV(N)/634/2012-14

കേരള ഗസററ് KERALA GAZETTE

ആധികാരികമായി പ്രസിദ്ധപ്പെടുത്തുന്നത് PUBLISHED BY AUTHORITY

	തിരുവനന്തപുരം,	2014 ആഗസ്റ്റ് 26 26th August 2014	
വാല്യം 3	ചൊവ്വ	1190 ചിങ്ങം 10	നമ്പർ
Vol. III	Thiruvananthapuram,	10th Chingam 1190	No. 34
	Tuesday	1936 ഭാദ്രം 4 4th Bhadra 1936	,

PART I

Notifications and Orders issued by the Government

Labour and Rehabilitation Department Labour and Rehabilitation (A)

ORDERS

(1)

G.O. (Rt.) No. 957/2014/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 21st July 2014.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the Manager, Ambadi Dairy Products, Kulakkode, Vellanad, Thiruvananthapuram-695 543 and the workman of the above referred establishment Sri N. K. Lohithakshan, Rani Bhavan, Kulakkode, Vellanad P. O., Thiruvananthapuram-695 543 in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Kollam. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the termination of services of Sri N. K. Lohithakshan, Despatch Superintendent of Ambadi Dairy Products, Vellanad, Thiruvananthapuram-695 543 by its management is justifiable? If not what are the relief he is entitled to?

(2)

G.O. (Rt.) No. 964/2014/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 22nd July 2014.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the Managing Director, Baliapattam Tile Works Limited, Pappinissery P. O., Kannur District, Pin-670 561 and the workmen of the above referred establishment represented by the General Secretary, Baliapattam Tile Works National Labour Union (INTUC), Pappinissery P. O., Kannur District-670 561 in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Kannur. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

Annexure

Whether the denial of employment to Sri P. Valsan by the management of the Baliapattam Tile Works Limited, Pappinissery is justifiable or not? If not, what relief the worker is entitled to?

(3)

G.O. (Rt.) No. 965/2014/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 22nd July 2014.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between Sri K. Bhargavan, Aditya Vision Care, Sahala Complex, Near Manorama Office, Old Busstand, Thalassery-670 101 and the workman of the above referred establishment Smt. K. P. Praveena, Koodathil House, Kuttimakul, Thiruvangad P. O., Thalassery, Pin-670 103 in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Kannur. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the denial of employment to Smt. K. P. Praveena by Sri K. Bhargavan, Proprietor, Aditya Vision Care, Thalassery is justifiable or not? If not, what relief the worker is entitled to?

(4)

G.O. (Rt.) No. 966/2014/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 22nd July 2014.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between Sri Moiyeen Kutty, Managing Partner, Geo Plastics and Polymers, Pookkayil, Tirur-676 107, Malappuram District and the workman of the above referred establishment Sri Beerankutty, N. K., Nalaam Kandathil House, Vaniyanoor, Eringavoor P. O., Tirur-676 107, Malappuram District in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Kozhikode. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the denial of employment to Sri Beerankutty, N. K., Driver, by the management of Geo Plastics and Polymers, Pookayil, Tirur is justifiable? If not, what is the remedy?

(5)

G.O. (Rt.) No. 967/2014/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 22nd July 2014.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between Smt. Mary Joseph, Pandanazhikkunnel, Nilambur RS (P.O.), Mukkatta, Malappuram District-679 330 and the workman of the above referred rubber estate represented by Sri K. T. Alavi, President, Malappuram District Estate Labour Congress (INTUC), Pukkottumpadam P. O., Malappuram District-679 332 in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Kozhikode. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

Annexure

Whether the denial of employment to Sri Unnithari, Tapper, by the employer Smt. Mary Joseph is justifiable? If not, what is the remedy?

(6)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 968/2014/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 22nd July 2014.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between Smt. Chuttachi Puthiyapurayil Thahira w/o. Harries, P. M., Chuttachi Puthiyapurayil, Kattampalli P. O., Kannur-670 011 and the worker of the above referred rubber estate, Owner Smt. Tessy, P. V., Rajakkattil, C/o Salim, Puzhakkara, Ichery, Nidungom P. O., Sreekandapuram (Via), Kannur District-670 631 in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Kannur. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the denial of employment to Smt. Tessy, P. V., Rajakkattil, Nidungom by the employer Smt. Chuttachi Puthiyapurayil Thahira, Kattampalli is justifiable or not? If not, what relief the worker is entitled to?

(7)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 978/2014/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 23rd July 2014.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the Managing Director, Malabar Cements Limited, Walayar, Palakkad-678 624 and the workman of the above referred establishment Sri. T. K. Suresh (S/o. Krishnan, Theramkode, Keralassery, Palakkad) now Residing at Staff Quarters, Malabar Cements Limited, Walayar, Palakkad in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Palakkad. The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the discharge of Sri T. K. Suresh, Mazdoor from the service of Malabar Cements Limited, Walayar with effect from 28-2-2013 by its management is justifiable or not? If not what are the benefits the worker Sri T. K. Suresh is entitled to?

(8)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 979/2014/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 23rd July 2014.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the Managing Director, Augustine Textile Colours Private Limited, Kanjikode, Palakkad-678 621 and the workman of the above referred establishment Sri S. Swaroop, S/o Sahadevan, Mannathu Veedu, Kanjikode, Palakkad-678 621 in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Palakkad. The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether Sri S. Swaroop, Grey Store Supervisor, Augustine Textile Colours Private Limited, Kanjikode is entitled for bonus in the financial years 2012-13, 2013-14?

> By order of the Governor, RAJANIKANT R. BALIGA, Under Secretary to Government.

ERRATUM

(1)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 980/2014/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 23rd July 2014.

Read:—1. G. O. (Rt.) No. 1596/2013/LBR dated 10-9-2013.

- 2. Letter No. I (1) 5231/2014 dated 2-7-2014 from the Labour Commissioner, Thiruvananthapuram.
- Representation from Sri. Haridas Pulpatta, State President, Kerala State School Cooking Workers Confederation (INTUC), dated 10-2-2014.

In the circumstances reported in the letter read as 2nd paper above, the Government are pleased to order that the issue of reference mentioned in the annexure of the Government Order read as 1st paper above is corrected to be read as "Whether the denial of employment of Sri. Chami Nair and Smt. Radha, School Cooking Workers, A.L.P. School, Puzhakkattiri, Malappuram District is justifiable? If not what is the remedy?".

The Government Order read above stands modified to the above extent.

(2)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 983/2014/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 24th July 2014.

Read:—1. G. O. (Rt.) No. 1699/2010/LBR dated 4-10-2010.

- 2. Letter No. I (6) 25716/2013 dated 26-6-2014 from the Labour Commissioner, Thiruvananthapuram.
- 3. Application of Sri. G. Sivan, General Secretary, Highrange Estate Supervisors and Employees Union (UTUC), Vandiperiyar-685 533.

In the circumstances reported in the letter read as 2nd paper above, the Government are pleased to order that the issue of reference mentioned in the annexure of the Government Order read as 1st paper above is corrected to be read as "Whether the discharge on medical ground of Sri. Jolly, C. R. No. 5297, Worker, Lekshmi Estate, Munnar by the management is justifiable? If not what relief he is entitled to?".

The Government Order read above stands modified to the above extent.

By order of the Governor,

RAJANIKANT R. BALIGA, *Under Secretary to Government.*